arXiv:1602.02234v1 [math.RT] 6 Feb 2016

CATEGORICAL RESOLUTIONS OF A CLASS OF DERIVED CATEGORIES

PU ZHANG

Abstract. Using the relative derived categories, we prove that if an Artin algebra A has a module T with $\mathrm{inj.dim}T < \infty$ such that ${}^{\perp}T$ is finite, then the bounded derived category $D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$ admits a categorical resolution; and that for CM-finite Gorenstein algebra, such a categorical resolution is weakly crepant.

2010 Mathematical Subject Classification. 18E30, 14E15, 16G10, 16G50, 18G25.

Key words: (weakly crepant) categorical resolution, (relative) derived category, Gorenstein-projective object, CM-finite algebra

1. Introduction and preliminaries

1.1. A resolution of a singular variety motivates the study of a categorical resolution of a triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$, which is a pair $(D^b(\mathcal{A}), \mathcal{K})$, where $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian category of finite homological dimension, $\mathcal{K}$ a thick subcategory of $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ such that $D \cong D^b(\mathcal{A})/\mathcal{K}$ (A. Bondal and D. Orlov [BO]). In [K] A. Kuznetsov defines a categorical resolution of $\mathcal{D}$ as a triple $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}, \pi_*, \pi^*)$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is an admissible subcategory of $D^b(\widetilde{X})$ with $\widetilde{X}$ a smooth variety, $\pi_* : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $\pi^* : \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{perf}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ are triangle functors satisfying some conditions. A non-commutative crepant resolution in the sense of M. Van den Bergh [VB] has all these properties. For other influential works see e.g. [BKR], [BLV], [Lu] and [SV].

In this paper we combine Kuznetsov's definition with Bondal-Orlov's one (Definition 1.1). Throughout $\mathcal{A}$ is an abelian category and A an Artin algebra. If $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projective objects, then $\mathcal{P} := \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{A})$ denotes the full subcategory of projective objects.

For a full additive subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ of $\mathcal{A}$, the relative derived category $D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ has been studied in different setting up (e.g. [N], [Bu], [GZ], [C2] and [AHV]). If $\mathcal{C}$ is a resolving and contravariantly finite, then $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ can be described as $D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$ (Proposition 3.3). So we get the Verdier functor $\pi_* : D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}) \to D^b(\mathcal{A})$. If $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$, then we get a triple $(D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}), \pi_*, \pi^*)$ with embedding $\pi^* : D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) \to D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$. This will be served as a categorical resolution of $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ in our consideration.

1.2. Let $\mathrm{Mod}A$ (resp. $\mathrm{mod}A$) be the category of right (resp. finitely generated) A-modules. For $T \in \mathrm{mod}A$, let ${}^{\perp}T$ be the full subcategory of $\mathrm{mod}A$ consisting of A-modules X with $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(X, T) = 0,\ \forall\ i \geq 1$; and $\mathrm{add}T$ (resp. $\mathrm{Add}T$) the full subcategory of $\mathrm{mod}A$ (resp. $\mathrm{Mod}A$) consisting of direct summands of finite (resp. arbitrary) direct sums of copies of T. Let ${}^{\perp_{\mathrm{big}}}(\mathrm{Add}T)$ the full subcategory of A-Mod given by $\{X \in \mathrm{Mod}A \mid \mathrm{Ext}^i_A(X, T') = 0,\ \forall\ i \geq 1,\ \forall\ T' \in \mathrm{Add}T\}$.

Supported by NSF China (Grant No. 11271251 and 11431010).
pzhang@sjtu.edu.cn.

Assume that gl.dim$A = \infty$, and there are modules T and M in mod$A$ with inj.dim$T < \infty$ such that ${}^{\perp}T = \mathrm{add}M$. The main result Theorem 4.1 claims that the above triple $(D^b(\mathrm{mod}B), \pi_*, \pi^*)$ is a categorical resolutions of $D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$; and that if A is a CM-finite Gorenstein algebra and B its relative Auslander algebra, then $D^b(\mathrm{mod}B)$ is a weakly crepant categorical resolution of $D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$. The same result holds also for $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}A)$.

1.3. An object P of triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ is *perfect* ([O1], [K]), if for each $Y \in \mathcal{D}$ there are only finitely many $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\mathrm{Hom}(P, Y[i]) \neq 0$. Let $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}$ be the full subcategory of perfect objects. It is a thick subcategory of $\mathcal{D}$, and an invariant of triangle-equivalences. If $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projective objects, then $K^b(\mathcal{P}) \subseteq D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$; but we stress that $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$ is not always true, although this is the case in the many situations (Section 2).

Define *the singularity category* of $\mathcal{A}$ to be $D^b_{sg}(\mathcal{A}) := D^b(\mathcal{A})/D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$ ([B], [O2]). A triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ is *smooth* ([BO], [K]), if it is triangle-equivalent to $D^b(\mathcal{A})$ with $D^b_{\mathrm{sg}}(\mathcal{A}) = 0$. For other definitions of the smothness see e.g. [KS], [Lu] and [TV].

Definition 1.1. (1) ([BO], [K, 3.2]) *A categorical resolution of a non-smooth triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ is a triple $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}, \pi_*, \pi^*)$, where $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is a smooth triangulated category, $\pi_* : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{D}$ and $\pi^* : \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{perf}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ are triangle functors, such that*

(i) *π_* induces a triangle-equivalence $\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}/\mathrm{Ker}\pi_* \cong \mathcal{D}$;*

(ii) *π^* is left adjoint to π_* on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}$, that is, there is a functorial isomorphism $\eta_{P,X} : \mathrm{Hom}_{\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}}(\pi^*P, X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{D}}(P, \pi_*X), \ \ \forall\ P \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}, \ \forall\ X \in \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$;*

(iii) *The unit $\eta = (\eta_P)_{P \in \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}} : \mathrm{Id}_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}} \to \pi_*\pi^*$ is a natural isomorphism of functors, where η_P is the morphism $\eta_{P,\pi^*P}(\mathrm{Id}_{\pi^*P}) : P \to \pi_*\pi^*P$.*

(2) ([K, 3.4]) *A categorical resolution $(\widetilde{\mathcal{D}}, \pi_*, \pi^*)$ of a triangulated category $\mathcal{D}$ is weakly crepant if π^* is also right adjoint to π_* on $\mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{perf}}$.*

Note that (iii) implies that $\pi^* : \mathcal{D}_{\mathrm{perf}} \to \widetilde{\mathcal{D}}$ is fully faithful. If $\pi_* : \widetilde{\mathcal{D}} \to \mathcal{D}$ is full and dense, then (i) holds automatically; however π_* usually can not be full.

It is well-known that for a complex singular variety X there is a proper birational resolution of singularities $\widetilde{X} \to X$; and if X is of rational singularity, then $D^b(\widetilde{X})$ is a categorical resolution of $D^b(X)$. A non-commutative crepant resolution ([VB]) induces a weakly crepant categorical resolution ([K]).

1.4. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be an additive category, $\mathcal{C}$ its additive full subcategory, and $X \in \mathcal{B}$. A morphism $f : C \to X$ with $C \in \mathcal{C}$ is *a right $\mathcal{C}$-approximation* of X, if the induced map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{B}}(C', f)$ is surjective for each $C' \in \mathcal{C}$. If each object of $\mathcal{B}$ has a right $\mathcal{C}$-approximation, then $\mathcal{C}$ is *contravariantly finite* in $\mathcal{B}$ ([AR]). For example, for $M \in \mathrm{mod}A$, add$M$ (resp. Add$M$) is contravariantly finite in mod$A$ (resp. Mod$A$).

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough projective objects. A full subcategory $\mathcal{C}$ is *resolving* ([AB]), provided that $\mathcal{C} \supseteq \mathcal{P}$, $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under extensions and direct summands, and that $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under the kernels of epimorphisms. A resolving subcategory is additive.

Denote by $\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$ the full subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$ consisting of Gorenstein-projective objects ([EJ]). Then $\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$ is a resolving subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$, and $\mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$ is contravariantly finite in $\mathcal{A}$ ([AR], [EJ], [H]), if each object of $\mathcal{A}$ has a finite Gorenstein-projective dimension.

Note that $\mathcal{GP}(\mathrm{Mod}A)$ is contravariantly finite in $\mathrm{Mod}A$ ([Be1, 3.5]). An Artin algebra A is *CM-finite*, if $\mathcal{GP}(\mathrm{mod}A)$ has only finitely many pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable objects; and A is *Gorenstein*, if $\mathrm{inj.dim}A_A < \infty$ and $\mathrm{inj.dim}\ {}_AA < \infty$. For a CM-finite algebra A, $\mathcal{GP}(\mathrm{mod}A)$ is contravariantly finite in $\mathrm{mod}A$. For examples of CM-finite non-Gorenstein algebras we refer to [Rin]. If A is virtually Gorenstein, then $\mathcal{GP}(\mathrm{mod}A)$ is contravariantly finite in A-mod ([Be1, 8.2]). In particular, if A is Gorenstein then $\mathcal{GP}(\mathrm{mod}A)$ is contravariantly finite in A-mod.

2. **Perfect subcategory of a triangulated category**

We give two classes of abelian categories $\mathcal{A}$ with enough projective objects, such that $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$, and also an example of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) \neq K^b(\mathcal{P})$.

Lemma 2.1. ([B, 1.2.1]) *Let $P \in D^b(\mathcal{A})$. Then $P \in K^b(\mathcal{P})$ if and only if there is a finite set $I(P) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}$, such that $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P, M[j]) = 0$ for $j \notin I(P)$ and each object $M \in \mathcal{A}$.*

$\mathcal{A}$ is *finitely filtrated*, if there are finitely many objects $S_1, \cdots, S_m$, such that for $0 \neq X \in \mathcal{A}$ there is a sequence of monomorphisms $0 = X_0 \xrightarrow{f_1} \cdots \xrightarrow{f_n} X_n = X$ with each $\mathrm{Coker}f_i \in \{S_1, \cdots, S_m\}$. For example, $\mathrm{mod}A$ is finitely filtrated for Artin algebra A.

Proposition 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough projective objects.*

(1) *If $\mathcal{A}$ is finitely filtrated, then $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$.*

(2) *If $\mathcal{A}$ has infinite direct sums, then $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) = K^b(\mathcal{P})$.*

Proof. We only prove $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq K^b(\mathcal{P})$. Let $P \in D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$.

(1) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be finitely filtrated by $S_1, \cdots, S_m$. Put $S := \bigoplus_{1 \leq i \leq n} S_i$. Let $I(P)$ be the finite set of integers i with $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P, S[i]) \neq 0$. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P, S[j]) = 0,\ \forall\ j \notin I(P)$. Since any object of $\mathcal{A}$ has a filtration with factors in $\{S_1, \cdots, S_m\}$, and any short exact sequence in $\mathcal{A}$ forms a distinguished triangle in $D^b(\mathcal{A})$, it follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P, M[j]) = 0$ for $j \notin I(P)$ and any object $M \in \mathcal{A}$. So $P \in K^b(\mathcal{P})$ by Lemma 2.1.

(2) The idea is due to J. Rickard [Ric, Prop. 6.2]. Take a quasi-isomorphism $Q \to P$ with $Q \in K^{-,b}(\mathcal{P})$ and $\mathrm{H}^n P = 0,\ \forall\ n \leq N$. It suffices to prove that there is an integer n with $n \leq N$ such that $\mathrm{Im}d^n_Q \in \mathcal{P}$. Otherwise, $\mathrm{Im}d^n_Q \notin \mathcal{P}$ for each $n \leq N$. Since $\mathcal{A}$ has infinite direct sums, $M := \bigoplus_{n \leq N} \mathrm{Im}d^n_Q \in \mathcal{A}$. The non-zero morphism

$$f : Q^n \to M = \bigoplus_{j \leq N} \mathrm{Im}d^j_Q = \mathrm{Im}d^n_Q \oplus (\bigoplus_{j \leq N, j \neq n} \mathrm{Im}d^j_Q)$$

induces a chain map $Q \to M[-n]$. Since $\mathrm{Im}d^n_Q \notin \mathcal{P}$, it follows that this chain map is not null homotopic. Thus $\mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{A})}(Q, M[-n]) \neq 0,\ \forall\ n \leq N$, and hence

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathcal{A})}(P, M[-n]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D^-(\mathcal{A})}(Q, M[-n]) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{A})}(Q, M[-n]) \neq 0.$$

This contradicts the assumption $P \in D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$. ■

Example 2.3. *We include an example such that $K^b(\mathcal{P}) \subsetneqq D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathcal{A})$. Let S be the polynomial algebra $k[x_0, \cdots, x_n]$ over field k, and R the exterior algebra $k[x_0, \cdots, x_n]/\langle x_i^2, x_i x_j + x_j x_i \rangle$. Then $K^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{gr}R)) \subsetneqq D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{gr}R)$, where $\mathrm{gr}R$ is the category of finitely generated graded right A-modules, which is an abelian category with enough projective objects.*

Otherwise $K^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{gr}R)) = D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{gr}R)$. *Since* $\mathrm{gr}S$ *is of finite global dimension, we have* $K^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{gr}S)) = D^b(\mathrm{gr}S)$, *and hence* $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{gr}S) = D^b(\mathrm{gr}S)$. *On the other hand, by the* BGG *equivalence* $D^b(\mathrm{gr}S) \cong D^b(\mathrm{gr}R)$ ([BGG]; *or* [OSS, Thm. 4.5, p.227]) *we get* $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{gr}S) \cong D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{gr}R)$. *This deduces* $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{gr}R) = D^b(\mathrm{gr}R)$, *and hence* $K^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{gr}R)) = D^b(\mathrm{gr}R)$. *But this implies that each object in* $\mathrm{gr}R$ *has finite projective dimension, which is absurd.*

3. **Relative derived categories**

3.1. Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a full additive subcategory of abelian category $\mathcal{A}$. A complex $M^\bullet$ over $\mathcal{A}$ is *$\mathcal{C}$-acyclic*, if $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, M^\bullet)$ is acyclic for all objects $C \in \mathcal{C}$. A chain map $f^\bullet$ is *a $\mathcal{C}$-quasi-isomorphism*, if the induced chain map $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, f^\bullet)$ is a quasi-isomorphism for all objects $C \in \mathcal{C}$, or equivalently, the mapping cone $\mathrm{Con}(f^\bullet)$ is $\mathcal{C}$-acyclic. For $* \in \{b, -, \mathrm{blank}\}$, let $K^*_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})$ denote the full subcategory of the homotopy category $K^*(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of $\mathcal{C}$-acyclic complexes. Then

$$K^*_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A}) = {}^{\perp}\mathcal{C} := \{X^\bullet \in K^*(\mathcal{A}) \mid \mathrm{Hom}_{K^*(\mathcal{A})}(C, X^\bullet[n]) = 0,\ \forall\, n \in \mathbb{Z},\ \forall\, C \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

So $K^*_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})$ is thick in $K^*(\mathcal{A})$. *The $\mathcal{C}$-relative derived category* is the Verdier quotient $D^*_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}) := K^*(\mathcal{A})/K^*_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})$ ([AHV], [GZ], [C2]). If $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projective objects and $\mathcal{C} \supseteq \mathcal{P}$, then $K^*_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})$ is a thick subcategory of $K^*_{ac}(\mathcal{A})$, the full subcategory of $K^*(\mathcal{A})$ consisting of acyclic complexes, and hence the derived category $D^*(\mathcal{A}) := K^*(\mathcal{A})/K^*_{ac}(\mathcal{A})$ is the Verdier quotient of $D^*_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ by $K^*_{ac}(\mathcal{A})/K^*_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})$. Thus we have the Verdier functor $\pi_* : D^*_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}) \to D^*(\mathcal{A})$, which is an equivalence if and only if $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{P}$.

For examples, if $\mathcal{A}$ has enough projective objects and $\mathcal{C} := \mathcal{GP}(\mathcal{A})$, then $D^*_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ is the Gorenstein derived category ([GZ]); if A is an Artin algebra and $M \in A$-mod, then we have the M-relative derived categories $D^*_{\mathrm{add}M}(\mathrm{mod}A)$ and $D^*_{\mathrm{Add}M}(\mathrm{Mod}A)$ ([AHV]).

There is a sequence $D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq D^-_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq D_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ of triangulated subcategories; and the composition $\mathcal{A} \to D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ of the embedding $\mathcal{A} \to K^b(\mathcal{A})$ and the localization functor $K^b(\mathcal{A}) \to D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$, is fully faithful. The following facts are in [AHV], [GZ], and [CFH].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a full additive subcategory of abelian category $\mathcal{A}$. Then*

(1) *Let $C^\bullet \in K^-(\mathcal{C})$, and $f^\bullet : X^\bullet \to C^\bullet$ be a $\mathcal{C}$-quasi-isomorphism. Then there is $g^\bullet : C^\bullet \to X^\bullet$ such that $f^\bullet g^\bullet$ is homotopic to $\mathrm{Id}_{C^\bullet}$. Thus, if in addition $X^\bullet \in K^-(\mathcal{C})$, then $f^\bullet$ is a homotopy equivalence.*

(2) *Let $C^\bullet \in K^-(\mathcal{C})$ and $Y^\bullet$ be an arbitrary complex. Then $\mathrm{Hom}_{K(\mathcal{A})}(C^\bullet, Y^\bullet) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{D\mathcal{C}(\mathcal{A})}(C^\bullet, Y^\bullet)$, via $f^\bullet \mapsto f^\bullet/\mathrm{Id}_{C^\bullet}$. Thus $K^*(\mathcal{C})$ can be viewed as a triangulated subcategory of $D^*_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ for $* \in \{b, -\}$.*

(3) *Let $K^{-,\mathcal{C},b}(\mathcal{C})$ be the full subcategory of $K^-(\mathcal{C})$ given by*

$$\{X^\bullet \in K^-(\mathcal{C}) \mid \exists\ N \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ such that } \mathrm{H}^i\,\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, X^\bullet) = 0,\ \forall\, i \leq N,\ \forall\, C \in \mathcal{C}\}.$$

Then $K^{-,\mathcal{C},b}(\mathcal{C})$ is thick in $K^-(\mathcal{C})$; and if $\mathcal{C}$ is contravariantly finite in $\mathcal{A}$, then for $X^\bullet \in K^b(\mathcal{A})$ there is a $\mathcal{C}$-quasi-isomorphism $C_{X^\bullet} \to X^\bullet$ with $C_{X^\bullet} \in K^{-,\mathcal{C},b}(\mathcal{C})$.

(4) *If $\mathcal{C}$ is a contravariantly finite subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$, then there is a triangle-equivalence $F : K^{-,\mathcal{C},b}(\mathcal{C}) \cong D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A})$ fixing objects of $K^b(\mathcal{C})$.*

3.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and $\mathcal{C}$ a resolving subcategory. Denote by $K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$ the full subcategory of $K^-(\mathcal{C})$ consisting of those complexes which are homotopy equivalent to bounded acyclic complexes over $\mathcal{C}$. It is clear that $K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$ is a triangulated subcategory of $K^-(\mathcal{C})$.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $C^\bullet \in K^{-,\mathcal{C},b}(\mathcal{C})$. Then $C^\bullet \in K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$ if and only if $C^\bullet$ is acyclic.*

Proof. Let $C^\bullet = (C^i, d^i)$ be acyclic. Since $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under kernels of epimorphisms, it follows that $\operatorname{Im} d^i \in \mathcal{C},\ \forall\ i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $C^\bullet \in K^{-,\mathcal{C},b}(\mathcal{C})$, there is an integer N such that $\mathrm{H}^n \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(C, C^\bullet) = 0,\ \forall\ n \leq N,\ \forall\ C \in \mathcal{C}$. In particular $\mathrm{H}^n \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(\operatorname{Im} d^{n-1}, C^\bullet) = 0$. This implies that the induced epimorphism $\widetilde{d^{n-1}} : C^{n-1} \to \operatorname{Im} d^{n-1}$ splits for $n \leq N$, and hence there is an isomorphism $C^\bullet \cong C'^\bullet$ in $K^-(\mathcal{C})$, where $C'^\bullet \in K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$ is the complex $\cdots \to 0 \to \operatorname{Im} d^{N-1} \hookrightarrow C^N \to C^{N+1} \to \cdots$. Thus $C^\bullet \in K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$. ∎

Proposition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and $\mathcal{C}$ a resolving contravariantly finite subcategory. Then $K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$ is thick in $K^{-,\mathcal{C},b}(\mathcal{C})$, and we have a triangle-equivalence $D^b(\mathcal{A}) \cong K^{-,\mathcal{C},b}(\mathcal{C})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$ fixing object $C \in K^b(\mathcal{C})$.*

Proof. Lemma 3.2 implies that $K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$ is a thick subcategory of $K^{-,\mathcal{C},b}(\mathcal{C})$. Let $F' : K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C}) \to K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})$ be the composition of the embedding $K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C}) \hookrightarrow K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A})$ and the Verdier functor $K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A}) \to K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})$. We claim that F' is an equivalence.

In fact, since $K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A})$ is a triangulated subcategory of $K^b(\mathcal{A})$, $K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})$ is a triangulated subcategory of the $\mathcal{C}$-relative derived category $D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}) := K^b(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})$. By Lemma 3.1(2) F' is fully faithful. For $X^\bullet \in K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A})$, by Lemma 3.1(3) there is a $\mathcal{C}$-quasi-isomorphism $C^\bullet \to X^\bullet$ with $C^\bullet \in K^{-,\mathcal{C},b}(\mathcal{C})$, which is also a quasi-isomorphism since $\mathcal{C} \supseteq \mathcal{P}$. Since $X^\bullet$ is acyclic, so is $C^\bullet$. By Lemma 3.2 $C^\bullet \in K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$. Thus F' is dense, since $X \cong F'(C^\bullet)$ in $K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})$. This proves the claim.

By construction F' is the restriction of F to $K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$, where F is the triangle-equivalence $K^{-,\mathcal{C},b}(\mathcal{C}) \cong D^b_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathcal{A}) := K^b(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})$ in Lemma 3.1(4). Hence we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C}) & \longrightarrow & K^{-,\mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C}) \\ {\scriptstyle F'}\downarrow & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle F} \\ K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A}) & \longrightarrow & K^b(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A}) \end{array}$$

where the horizontal functors are embeddings. Thus F induces a triangle-equivalence

$$\begin{aligned} K^{-,\mathcal{C}b}(\mathcal{C})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C}) &\cong (K^b(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A}))/(K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{\mathcal{C}ac}(\mathcal{A})) \\ &\cong K^b(\mathcal{A})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{A}) = D^b(\mathcal{A}) \end{aligned}$$

where the second the triangle-equivalence is well-known (see e.g. [V, Corol. 4-3]). ∎

3.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and $\mathcal{C}$ a resolving subcategory. An object $I \in \mathcal{C}$ is *a* (*relative*) *injective object* of $\mathcal{C}$, if $\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathcal{A}}(-, I)$ sends any exact sequence $0 \to X_1 \to X_2 \to X_3 \to 0$ with each $X_i \in \mathcal{C}$ to an exact sequence. Clearly, I is an injective object of $\mathcal{C}$ if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}^1_{\mathcal{A}}(X, I) = 0$ for $X \in \mathcal{C}$, and also if and only if $\operatorname{Ext}^i_{\mathcal{A}}(X, I) = 0$ for $X \in \mathcal{C}$ and for $i \geq 1$. The following fact is similar to the case of $\mathcal{C} = \mathcal{A}$, which is well-known. Since it will be used in the next section, we include a justification.

Lemma 3.4. *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough projective objects, and $\mathcal{C}$ a resolving subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$. Then*

(1) *For $P \in K^-(\mathcal{P})$ and $C \in K^-(\mathcal{C})$, there is a functorial isomorphism*

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})}(P,C) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})}(P,C), \quad \text{given by } f \mapsto f/\mathrm{Id}_P \text{ (the right fraction)}.$$

(2) *Let I be a bounded complex of injective objects of $\mathcal{C}$ and $G \in K^-_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$. Then* $\mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{A})}(G,I) = 0$.

(3) *Let I be a bounded complex of injective objects of $\mathcal{C}$ and $C \in K^-(\mathcal{C})$. If $t : I \to C$ a quasi-isomorphism, then there is a chain map $s : C \to I$ such that $st = \mathrm{Id}_I$ in $K^-(\mathcal{A})$.*

(4) *For a bounded complex I of injective objects of $\mathcal{C}$ and $C \in K^-(\mathcal{C})$, there is a functorial isomorphism* $\mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})}(C,I) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})}(C,I)$, *given by $f \mapsto \mathrm{Id}_I \backslash f$ (the left fraction).*

Proof. (1) Assume $f/\mathrm{Id}_P = 0$. This means that there is a quasi-isomorphism $t : Z \to P$ with $ft = 0$. Thus there is $g : P \to Z$ such that tg is homotopic to Id_P (cf. Lemma 3.1(1)). Thus $f = f(tg) = (ft)g = 0$ in $K^-(\mathcal{C})$. Also, assume $f/s \in \mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{C})/K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})}(P,C)$, where $s : Z \to P$ with $\mathrm{Con}(s) \in K^b_{ac}(\mathcal{C})$. So there is a quasi-isomorphism $g : P \to Z$ such that sg is homotopic to Id_P, and hence $f/s = fg/sg = fg/\mathrm{Id}_P$.

(2) We need to show that any chain map $f : G \to I$ is null-homotopic. We construct a homotopy $s = (s^i)$ by induction. Assume that we have constructed $s^i : G^i \to I^i$ for $i \leq m$, such that $f^{i-1} = d_I^{i-2}s^{i-1} + s^i d_G^{i-1}$ for $i \leq m$. Since G is an upper bounded acyclic complex with all $G^i \in \mathcal{C}$, and $\mathcal{C}$ is closed under the kernels of epimorphisms, it follows that $\mathrm{Im}d_G^j \in \mathcal{C},\ \forall\ j \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $(f^m - d_I^{m-1}s^m)d_G^{m-1} = 0$, it follows that $f^m - d_I^{m-1}s^m$ factors through $\mathrm{Coker}d_G^{m-1} = \mathrm{Im}d_G^m$. Since I^m is an injective object of $\mathcal{C}$, it follows that there is $s^{m+1} : G^{m+1} \longrightarrow I^m$ such that $f^m - d_I^{m-1}s^m = s^{m+1}d_G^m$.

(3) By (2) $\mathrm{Hom}_{K^-(\mathcal{A})}(\mathrm{Con}(t), I) = 0 = \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Con}(t)[-1], I)$. By the distinguished triangle $I \xrightarrow{t} C \to \mathrm{Con}(t) \to I[1]$ we see $\mathrm{Hom}(C,I) \cong \mathrm{Hom}(I,I)$, and hence the assertion.

(4) The proof is dual to the one of (1), by using (3). ■

4. **Main results**

Theorem 4.1. (1) *Let A be an Artin algebra of* $\mathrm{gl.dim}A = \infty$, *and $T \in \mathrm{mod}A$ with* $\mathrm{inj.dim}T < \infty$. *If there is a module $M \in \mathrm{mod}A$ such that* ${}^{\perp}T = \mathrm{add}M$ *(resp.* ${}^{\perp_{\mathrm{big}}}(\mathrm{Add}T) = \mathrm{Add}M$*), then $D^b(\mathrm{mod}B)$ (resp. $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}B)$) is a categorical resolution of $D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$ (resp. $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}A)$), where $B := \mathrm{End}\, M$.*

(2) *Let A be a* CM*-finite Gorenstein algebra of* $\mathrm{gl.dim}A = \infty$, *and B its relative Auslander algebra. Then $D^b(\mathrm{mod}B)$ (resp. $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}B)$) is a weakly crepant categorical resolution of $D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$ (resp. $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}A)$).*

Note that in general there are no modules $T' \in A$-Mod such that ${}^{\perp_{\mathrm{big}}}(\mathrm{Add}T) = {}^{\perp_{\mathrm{big}}}T'$. If in addition A in Theorem 4.1(2) is a commutative local ring, then G. J. Leuschke [Le] has observed that it is a non-commutative crepant resolution [VB].

4.1. For a right noetherian ring R, by [A1] $\mathrm{gl.dim}(\mathrm{Mod}R) = \mathrm{gl.dim}(\mathrm{mod}R)$, which is denoted by $\mathrm{gl.dim}R$. For Artin algebra A, $\mathrm{gl.dim}A$ is just the maximum of $\mathrm{proj.dim}S(i)$, where $\{S(1), \cdots, S(n)\}$ is the set of simple A-modules, up to isomorphisms.

Lemma 4.2. *Let A be an Artin algebra, and $M \in \mathrm{mod}A$. If M is a generator (i.e., $A_A \in \mathrm{add}M$), then $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,-) : \mathrm{mod}A \to \mathrm{mod}B$ is fully faithful.*

Proof. Note that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,-)$ induces an equivalence between $\mathrm{add}\, M$ and $\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{mod}B)$ ([ARS, p.33]). Since M is a generator, for any $X \in \mathrm{mod}A$ there is a surjective A-map $M^m \twoheadrightarrow X$ for some positive integer m. This implies that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,-)$ is faithful.

Let $X, Y \in \mathrm{mod}\ A$ and $f : \mathrm{Hom}(M,X) \to \mathrm{Hom}(M,Y)$ be a B-map. By right $\mathrm{add}\, M$-approximations we get exact sequences $T_1 \xrightarrow{u} T_0 \xrightarrow{\pi} X \to 0$ and $T_1' \xrightarrow{u'} T_0' \xrightarrow{\pi'} Y \to 0$ with $T_0, T_1, T_0', T_1' \in \mathrm{add}\, M$. Applying $\mathrm{Hom}(M,-)$ we get the diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,T_1) & \xrightarrow{(M,u)} & \mathrm{Hom}_A(M,T_0) & \xrightarrow{(M,\pi)} & \mathrm{Hom}_A(M,X) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_0} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f} & & \\
\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,T_1') & \xrightarrow{(M,u')} & \mathrm{Hom}_A(M,T_0') & \xrightarrow{(M,\pi')} & \mathrm{Hom}_A(M,Y) & \longrightarrow & 0.
\end{array}$$

Then f induces f_1 and f_0 such that the diagram commutes. Thus $f_i = \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, f_i')$ for some $f_i' \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(T_i, T_i')$, $i = 0, 1$. So we get the diagram with commutative left square

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
T_1 & \xrightarrow{u} & T_0 & \xrightarrow{\pi} & X & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow{\scriptstyle f_1'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f_0'} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle f'} & & \\
T_1' & \xrightarrow{u'} & T_0' & \xrightarrow{\pi'} & Y & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

and hence there is $f' \in \mathrm{Hom}_A(X,Y)$ such that the diagram commutes. Then one easily deduces that $f = \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, f')$, i.e., $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,-)$ is full. ■

Lemma 4.3. (Auslander-Bridger Lemma, [AB, 3.12]) *Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an abelian category with enough projective objects, $\mathcal{X}$ a resolving subcategory of $\mathcal{A}$. For exact sequences*

$$0 \to X_n \to \cdots \to X_0 \to Z \to 0 \quad \textit{and} \quad 0 \to Y_n \to \cdots \to Y_0 \to Z \to 0$$

in $\mathcal{A}$ with $X_i \in \mathcal{X}$ and $Y_i \in \mathcal{X}$ for $0 \le i \le n-1$, then $X_n \in \mathcal{X}$ if and only if $Y_n \in \mathcal{X}$.

Proposition 4.4. *Let A be an Artin algebra, T and M modules in $\mathrm{mod}A$ with ${}^{\perp}T = \mathrm{add}M$. Put $B := \mathrm{End}\, M$. Then for each integer $r \ge 2$, $\mathrm{gl.dim}B \le r$ if and only if $\mathrm{inj.dim}T \le r$.*

Proof. Assume that $\mathrm{gl.dim}B \le r$. Take a right $\mathrm{add}M$-approximation $f_0 : M_0 \to X$ of $X \in \mathrm{mod}A$. Since M is a generator, f_0 is surjective. Again taking a right $\mathrm{add}M$-approximation $M_1 \to \mathrm{Ker}f_0$, and repeating the process we get an exact sequence

$$M_{r-1} \xrightarrow{f_{r-1}} M_{r-2} \to \cdots \to M_0 \xrightarrow{f_0} X \to 0$$

with each $M_i \in \mathrm{add}M$. Put $K := \mathrm{Ker}f_{r-1}$. By construction we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(M,K) \to \mathrm{Hom}(M,M_{r-1}) \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Hom}(M,M_0) \to \mathrm{Hom}(M,X) \to 0.$$

Since by assumption $\mathrm{proj.dim}_B \mathrm{Hom}(M,X) \le r$, by Auslander-Bridger Lemma $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M,K)$ is a projective B-module. Thus there is a B-isomorphism $s : \mathrm{Hom}_A(M,K) \to \mathrm{Hom}_A(M,M')$ with $M' \in \mathrm{add}M$. By Lemma 4.2 there are $f : K \to M'$ and $g : M' \to K$ such that

$s = \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, f)$ and $s^{-1} = \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, g)$. Since $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, -)$ is faithful, one easily deduce that $K \in \mathrm{add}M$, and hence we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to M_r \to M_{r-1} \to \cdots \to M_0 \to X \to 0$$

with each $M_i \in \mathrm{add}M$. Thus for $i \geq 1$ we have $\mathrm{Ext}^{i+r}_A(X, T) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^i_A(M_r, T) = 0$, since $M \in {}^{\perp}T$. This implies $\mathrm{inj.dim}T \leq r$. (Note that this part holds also for $r \leq 1$.)

Conversely, assume that $\mathrm{inj.dim}T \leq r$ with $r \geq 2$. Let ${}_BY \in B$-mod with projective presentation $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, M_1) \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, M_0) \to {}_BY \to 0$ and $M_i \in \mathrm{add}M,\ i = 0, 1$. Then there is an A-map $f : M_1 \to M_0$ such that $d = \mathrm{Hom}_A(M, f)$. Taking a right $\mathrm{add}M$-approximation $M_2 \to \mathrm{Ker}f$ and repeating this process we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to K \to M_{r-1} \to \cdots \to M_2 \to M_1 \xrightarrow{f} M_0$$

with each $M_i \in \mathrm{add}M$. Thus for $i \geq 1$ we have $\mathrm{Ext}^i_A(K, T) \cong \mathrm{Ext}^{i+r}_A(\mathrm{Coker}f, T) = 0$, since $\mathrm{inj.dim}T \leq r$. So $K \in {}^{\perp}T = \mathrm{add}M$. By construction we get an exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathrm{Hom}(M, K) \to \cdots \to \mathrm{Hom}(M, M_1) \xrightarrow{d} \mathrm{Hom}(M, M_0) \to {}_BY \to 0.$$

This is a projective resolution, and hence $\mathrm{proj.dim}_B Y \leq r$. This proves $\mathrm{gl.dim}B \leq r$. ■

If A is representation-finite and T an injective module, then B is the Auslander algebra of A ([ARS]). If A is CM-finite Gorenstein algebra and $T := A_A$, then Theorem 4.4 is also well-known, and B is the relative Auslander algebra of A ([LZ], [Be2]; also [Le]).

4.2. **Proof of Theorem 4.1.** (1) By Proposition 4.4 $D^b(\mathrm{mod}B)$ is smooth. The equivalence $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, -) : \mathrm{add}M \to \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{mod}B)$ induces pointwisely a triangle-equivalence $K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M) \cong K^{-,b}(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{mod}B))$. Since $D^b(\mathrm{mod}B) \cong K^{-,b}(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{mod}B))$, we have a triangle-equivalence

$$F : D^b(\mathrm{mod}B) \cong K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M).$$

By $\mathrm{add}M = {}^{\perp}T$ we see that $\mathrm{add}M$ is resolving, and hence $\mathrm{add}M$ is a resolving contravariantly finite subcategory of A-mod. By Proposition 3.3 we have a triangle-equivalence

$$G : D^b(\mathrm{mod}A) \longrightarrow K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M)/K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{add}M)$$

with $GP = P$ for $P \in K^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{mod}A))$. Thus, we get a triangle functor

$$\pi_* := G^{-1}VF : D^b(\mathrm{mod}B) \longrightarrow D^b(\mathrm{mod}A),$$

where $V : K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M) \to K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M)/K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{add}M)$ is the Verdier functor.

On the other hand, by Proposition 2.2(1) $D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{mod}A) = K^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{mod}A))$. Thus we have a triangle functor (where σ is the embedding $\sigma : K^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{mod}A)) \hookrightarrow K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{addM})$

$$\pi^* := F^{-1}\sigma : D^b_{\mathrm{perf}}(\mathrm{mod}A) \longrightarrow D^b(\mathrm{mod}B).$$

Since $K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{add}M)$ is thick in $K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathcal{C})$ (cf. Proposition 3.3), we have $\mathrm{Ker}V = K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{add}M)$. It follows the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} D^b(B\text{-mod}) & \xrightarrow{\pi_*} & D^b(\mathrm{mod}A) \\ \downarrow{\scriptstyle F} & & \downarrow{\scriptstyle G} \\ K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M) & \xrightarrow{V} & K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M)/K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{add}M) \end{array}$$

that $\mathrm{Ker}\pi_* = F^-(K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{add}M))$, and π_* induces a triangle-equivalence $D^b(B\text{-mod})/\mathrm{Ker}\pi_* \cong D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$. (But note that π_* itself is not full.)

Notice that π^* is left adjoint to π_* on $K^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{mod}A))$. In fact, for $P \in K^b(\mathcal{P}(A\text{-mod}))$ and $X \in D^b(\mathrm{mod}B)$ we have $\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{mod}B)}(\pi^*P, X) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M)}(P, FX)$ and

$$\begin{aligned}\mathrm{Hom}_{D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)}(P, \pi_*X) &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M)/K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{add}M)}(GP, VFX)\\ &\cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M)/K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{add}M)}(P, FX)\end{aligned}$$

(note that $GP = P$ and $VFX = FX$). So, it suffices to prove that there is a functorial isomorphism

$$\zeta_{P,FX} : \mathrm{Hom}_{K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M)}(P, FX) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M)/K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{add}M)}(P, FX).$$

This follows from Lemma 3.4(1) by taking $\mathcal{C} := \mathrm{add}M$.

Finally, saying that the unit $\mathrm{Id}_{K^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{mod}A))} \to \pi_*\pi^* = G^{-1}V\sigma$ is a natural isomorphism of functors amounts to saying that $\zeta_P = \zeta_{P,P}(\mathrm{Id}_P) = \mathrm{Id}_P/\mathrm{Id}_P : P \to P$ is an isomorphism in $K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M)/K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{add}M)$ for each $P \in K^b(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{mod}A))$. This trivially holds.

All together the triple $(D^b(\mathrm{mod}B), \pi_*, \pi^*)$ is a categorical resolution of $D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$.

Now we consider $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}A)$. First, the condition ${}^{\perp_{\mathrm{big}}}(\mathrm{Add}T) = \mathrm{Add}M$ implies ${}^{\perp}T = \mathrm{add}M$. The argument is as follows:

$${}^{\perp}T = {}^{\perp_{\mathrm{big}}}(\mathrm{Add}T) \cap \mathrm{mod}A = \mathrm{Add}M \cap \mathrm{mod}A = \mathrm{add}M,$$

where the first equality follows from the fact that a finitely generated A-module is a compact object in $\mathrm{Mod}A$. By Proposition 4.4 $\mathrm{gl.dim}B < \infty$, and thus $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}B)$ is smooth. Since M is finitely generated, it follows that $\mathrm{Hom}_A(M, -) : \mathrm{Add}M \longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mod}B)$ is again an equivalence of categories and that it induces pointwisely a triangle-equivalence $K^{-,\mathrm{Add}M,b}(\mathrm{Add}M) \cong K^{-,b}(\mathcal{P}(\mathrm{Mod}B))$, and hence we get a triangle-equivalence

$$F : D^b(\mathrm{Mod}B) \cong K^{-,\mathrm{Add}M,b}(\mathrm{Add}M).$$

By $\mathrm{Add}M = {}^{\perp_{\mathrm{big}}}(\mathrm{Add}T)$ we see that $\mathrm{Add}M$ is a resolving subcategory of $\mathrm{Mod}A$. Also, $\mathrm{Add}M$ is contravariantly finite in $\mathrm{Mod}A$ (cf. 1.4). The rest of the proof is similar with the case of $D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$, just replacing $\mathrm{add}M$ by $\mathrm{Add}M$, and $\mathrm{mod}B$ by $\mathrm{Mod}B$.

(2) In (1) take $T := A_A$, and M to be the direct sum of all the pairwise non-isomorphic finitely generated indecomposable Gorenstein-projective modules.

Since A is CM-finite, we have $M \in \mathrm{mod}A$ and $\mathcal{GP}(\mathrm{mod}A) = \mathrm{add}M$. Since A is Gorenstein, it follows from [EJ, Corol. 11.5.3] that $\mathcal{GP}(\mathrm{mod}A) = {}^{\perp}(A_A)$. Thus ${}^{\perp}T = \mathrm{add}M$, and hence by (1) $D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$ has a categorical resolution $(D^b(\mathrm{mod}B), \pi_*, \pi^*)$, where π_* and π^* are given in the proof of (1). It remains to see that π^* is right adjoint to π_* on $K^b(\mathcal{P}(A\text{-mod}))$. In fact, for $X \in D^b(\mathrm{mod}B)$ and $P \in K^b(\mathcal{P}(A\text{-mod}))$, as in the proof of (1) it suffices to prove that there is a functorial isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{add}M)}(FX, P) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{K^{-,\mathrm{add}M,b}(\mathrm{addM})/K^b_{ac}(\mathrm{add}M)}(FX, P).$$

This follows from Lemma 3.4(4) by taking $\mathcal{C} := \mathrm{add}M = \mathcal{GP}(\mathrm{mod}A)$, since projective modules are injective objects of $\mathcal{GP}(\mathrm{mod}A)$.

Now we consider $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}A)$. Since A is a CM-finite Gorenstein algebra, by [C1] any Gorenstein-projective A-module is a direct sum of finitely generated indecomposable

Gorenstein-projective modules, and hence $\mathcal{GP}(\mathrm{Mod}A) = \mathrm{Add}M$. Since A is Gorenstein, it follows from [EJ, Corol. 11.5.3] (or [Be1, Prop. 3.10]) that $\mathcal{GP}(\mathrm{Mod}A) = {}^{\perp_{\mathrm{big}}}(\mathrm{Add}A_A)$. Thus ${}^{\perp_{\mathrm{big}}}(\mathrm{Add}T) = \mathrm{Add}M$, and hence by (1) $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}B)$ is a categorical resolution of $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}A)$. The similar argument as for $D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$ shows that it is weakly crepant. ■

4.3. Finally we give some special cases.

Corollary 4.5. *Let A be a representation-finite Artin algebra of* $\mathrm{gl.dim}A = \infty$*, and B its Auslander algebra. Then $D^b(\mathrm{mod}B)$ (resp. $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}B)$) is a categorical resolution of $D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$ (resp. $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}A)$).*

Proof. Choose T to be an injective module in $\mathrm{mod}A$, and M to be the direct sum of all the pairwise non-isomorphic finitely generated indecomposable modules.

By Theorem 4.1(1) we get the assertion for $D^b(\mathrm{mod}A)$.

Since A is representation-finite, by [A2, Corol. 4.8] any A-module is a direct sum of finitely generated indecomposable modules. It follows that ${}^{\perp_{\mathrm{big}}}T = \mathrm{Mod}A = \mathrm{Add}M$, and then the assertion for $D^b(\mathrm{Mod}A)$ follows from Theorem 4.1(1). ■

A module $T \in \mathrm{mod}A$ is *cotilting* ([AR]), if $\mathrm{inj.dim}T \leq 1$, $\mathrm{Ext}^1_A(T,T) = 0$, and there is an exact sequence $0 \to T_0 \to T_1 \to D(A_A) \to 0$ with $T_i \in \mathrm{add}T$, $i = 0, 1$. A module $X \in \mathrm{mod}A$ *is cogenerated by* T, if X can be embedded as an A-module into a finite direct sum of copies of T. Then X is cogenerated by a cotilting module T if and only if $X \in {}^{\perp}T$ ([HR]). By Theorem 4.1(1) we get

Corollary 4.6. *Let A be an Artin algebra of* $\mathrm{gl.dim}A = \infty$*. If A has a cotilting module T such that there are only finitely many pairwise non-isomorphic indecomposable A-modules which are cogenerated by T. Then $D^b(A\text{-mod})$ admits a categorical resolution.*

References

[AHV] J. Asadollahi, R. Hafezi, R. Vahed, Gorenstein derived equivalences and their invariants, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 218(5) (2014), 888-903.

[A1] M. Auslander, On the dimension of modules and algebras (III), Global dimension, Nagoya Math. J. 9 (1955), 67-77.

[A2] M. Auslander, Representation theory of artin algebras II, Comm. Algebra (1974), 269-310.

[AB] M. Auslander, M. Bridger, Stable module theory, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 94., Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1969.

[AR] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, Applications of contravariantly finite subcategories, Adv. Math. 86(1991), 111-152.

[ARS] M. Auslander, I. Reiten, S. O. Smalø, Representation Theory of Artin Algebras, Cambridge Studies in Adv. Math. 36., Cambridge Univ. Press, 1995.

[BGG] J. N. Bernstein, I. M. Gelfand, S. I. Gelfand, Algebraic boundles over $\mathbb{P}^n$ and problems of linear algebra, Functional Analysis and its App. 12(3)(1978), 214-216.

[Be1] A. Beligiannis, Cohen-Macaulay modules, (co)tosion pairs and virtually Gorenstein algebras, J. Algebra 288(1)(2005), 137-211.

[Be2] A. Beligiannis, On rings and algebras of finite Cohen-Macaulay type, Adv. Math. 226 (2) (2011), 1973-2019.

[BO] A. Bondal, D.Orlov, Derived categories of coherent sheaves, In: Proc. ICM 2002 Beijing, Vol. II, Higher Education Press, Beijing, 2002, 47-56.

[BKR] T. Bridgeland, A. King, M. Reid, The McKay correspondence as an equivalence of derived categories, J. Amer. Math. Soc. 14(3)(2001), 535-554.

[Bu] A. B. Buan, Closed subfunctors of the extension functor, J. Algebra 244(2001), 407-428.

[B] R.-O. Buchweitz, Maximal Cohen-Macaulay modules and Tate cohomology over Gorenstein rings, Unpublished manuscript, Hamburg (1987), 155pp.

[BLV] R.-O. Buchweitz, G. J. Leuschke, M. Van den Bergh, Non-commutative desingularization of determinantal varieties I, Invent. Math. 182(2010), 47-115.

[C1] X. W. Chen, An Auslander-type result for Gorenstein-projective modules, Adv. Math. 218(2008), 2043-2050.

[C2] X. W. Chen, Homotopy equivalence induced by blanced pairs, J. Algebra 324(2010), 2718-2731.

[CFH] L. W. Christensen, A. Frankild, H. Holm, On Gorenstein projective, injective and flat dimensions-a functorial description with applications, J. Algebra 302(1)(2006), 231-279.

[EJ] E. E. Enochs, O. M. G. Jenda, Relative homological algebra, De Gruyter Exp. Math. 30, Walter De Gruyter Co., 2000.

[GZ] N. Gao, P. Zhang, Gorenstein derived categories, J. Algebra 323(2010), 2041-2057.

[HR] D. Happel, C. M. Ringel, Tilted algebras, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 274(2)(1982), 399-443.

[H] H. Holm, Gorenstein homological dimensions, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 189(1-3)(2004), 167-193.

[KS] M. Kontsevich, Y.Soibelman, Notes on A_∞-algebras, A_∞-categories and non-commutative geometry, Homological mirror symmetry, 153-219, Lecture Notes in Phys., 757, Springer, Berlin, 2009.

[K] A. Kuznetsov, Lefschetz decompositions and categorical resolutions of singularities, Selecta Math. New Ser. 13(2008), 661-696.

[Le] G. J. Leuschke, Endomorphism rings of finite global dimension, Canad. J. Math. 59(2)(2007), 332-342.

[LZ] Z. W. Li, P. Zhang, Gorenstein algebras of finite Cohen-Macaulay type, Adv. Math. 223 (2010), 728-734.

[Lu] V. A. Lunts, Categorical resolutions of singularities, J. Algebra 323(2010), 2977-3003.

[N] A. Neeman, The derived category of an exact category, J. Algebra 135(2)(1990), 388-394.

[OSS] C. Okonek, M. Schneider, H. Spindler, Vector bundles and complex projective spaces, With an appendix by S. I. Gelfand, Birkhäuser, Springer Basel AG, 2011.

[O1] D. Orlov, Triangulated categories of singularities, and equivalences between Landau-Ginzburg models, English translation in Sb. Math. 197 (2006), 1827-1840.

[O2] B. Orlov, Derived categories of coherent sheaves and triangulated categories of singularities, In: Algebra, arithmetic, and geometry, Vol. II, 503-531, Progr. Math. 270, Birkhäuser Boston, Inc., Boston, MA, 2009.

[Ric] J. Rickard, Morita theory for derived categories, J. London Math. Soc. (2)39(1989), 436-456.

[Rin] C. M. Ringel, The Gorenstein-projective modules for the Nakayama algebras I, J. Algebra 385(2013), 241-261.

[SV] J. T. Stafford, M. Van den Bergh, Noncommutative resolutions and rational singularities, Michigan Math. J. 57(2008), 659-674.

[TV] B. Toën, M. Vaquié, Moduli of objects in dg-categories, Ann.Sci. École Norm. Sup. 40 (2007), 387-444.

[VB] M. Van den Bergh, Non-commutative crepant resolutions, In: The legacy of Niels Henrik Abel, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2004, 749-770.

[V] J. L. Verdier, Catégories dérivées, etat 0, in: Lecture Notes in Math. 569, 262-311, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200240, China